	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GI2) 6mbH	n Scheme f	or Tec	hnic	cal Asse	ssmen	t of Offe	ers						page 1
Section/Divis	rision 3900	Project title	Short:	ECO.	Georgia				Da	ite	16-10-25			
AV Marit Berchner Laboratory A					g				PN/Activi					
DV Christian Gönner of Soil Characteristics									, , ,					
Assessor								VN:						
		Weigh in 9 (2)	1%		oany 2	Company 3		Company 4		Company 5				
					points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-
	Criteria		a)		(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment
	(1)		u)		(2)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)
					(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
	operience of the Company		20			•		0		0		0		0
	echnical Experience (state relevant sectors)  3 years of operation		<b>20</b> 10			<b>0</b> 0		U		U		U		U
a)	Execution of related laboratory analysis with	n	10			U								
b)		11	10			0								
,	egional Experience (state country/region)					o		0		0		0		0
1.2	egional Experience (state country/region)					U		U		O		O		
Total 1.		20			0		0		0		0		0	
2. App	opropriateness of suggested concept and work plan													
	oncept (technical approach/methodical procedures)		80			0		0		0		0		0
a) interpretation of objectives		10			0		0		0		0		0	
b) s	strategy and methods for the implementation of ana	yses, reflecting												
	tisting alternatives		40			0		0		0		0		0
	cooperation during the implementation		20			0		0		0		0		0
	a work plan in a visual form		10			0		0		0		0		0
	onceptual options					0		0		0		0		0
2.3 Mo	onitoring and evaluation concept		00			0		0		0		0		0
Total 2.	abuital Daykatanning		80			0		0		0		0		0
<ol> <li>Ted</li> <li>Sta</li> </ol>	echnical Backstopping													
	an ardware (technical equipment)													
	oftware (programmes, cooperation relations)													
Total 3	orthano (programmes, cooperation relations)													
	onsideration of local resources													

												page 2	
		Weighting	g Com	Company 1		Company 2		Company 3		Company 4		Company 5	
		in %											
		(2)						1					
	Ouit - ui -		points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	
	Criteria	a)	(max. 10)		(max. 10)		(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)		
	(1)	/	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	(0)	(2)x(3)	
-	Overlife action of managed staff		(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	
5.	Qualification of proposed staff												
5.1	Expert 1			_				_		_		_	
5.1.1	General qualification	0		0		<b>0</b>		0		<b>0</b>		0	
	- training			0				0		•		0	
<b>540</b>	- professional experience			0		0		0		0		0	
5.1.2	Specific qualification	0		0		0		0		0		0	
	- special field (state field)			0		0		0		0		0	
	- management experience			0		0		0		0		0	
	- ability to work in a team			0		0		0		0		0	
5.1.3	Regional experience / knowledge of country	0		0		0		0		0		0	
5.1.4	Linguistic skills (state language)	0		0		0		0		0		0	
Subtota		0		0		0		0		0		0	
5.2	Expert 2												
5.2.1	General qualification	0		0		0		0		0		0	
	- training			0		0		0		0		0	
	- professional experience			0		0		0		0		0	
5.2.2	Specific qualification	0		0		0		0		0		0	
	- special field (state field)			0		0		0		0		0	
	- management experience			0		0		0		0		0	
	- ability to work in a team			0		0		0		0		0	
5.2.3	Regional experience / knowledge of country	0		0		0		0		0		0	
5.2.4	Linguistic skills (state language)	0		0		0		0		0		0	
Subtota		0		0		0		0		0		0	
5.5	Composition of the team												
Total 5		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Grand Total		100		0		0		0		0		0	
plac	e												
6.	Special advantages / risks (see extra page)						-		-		<del></del>		
plac													